

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/749,621	12/30/2003	Ali R. Rezai	26336-10067	8232
26294	7590 06/16/2006		EXAM	INER
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P. 1300 EAST NINTH STREET, SUITE 1700			JASTRZAB, JEFFREY R	
	INTH STREET, SUITE 170 ID, OH 44114	U	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·	•	3762	
			DATE MAILED: 06/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			E				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Commence	10/749,621	REZAI ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jeffrey R. Jastrzab	3762					
<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this communication app</li> <li>Period for Reply</li> </ul>	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 Ju	<u>ıly 2005</u> .						
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for alloward	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-5 and 7-45 is/are pending in the ap	plication.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) <u>29-32 and 36-41</u> is/are allowed.							
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5,7,8,12-16,20-28,33-35 and 42-45</u>	is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) <u>9-11 and 17-19</u> is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acc	epted or b) ☐ objected to by the	Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		).				
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P10-152.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority document</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority document</li> </ul>	s have been received.						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio							
application from the International Bureau		J					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	ed.					
Attachment(s)	_						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> </ol>	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D						
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	. 🗖	Patent Application (PTO-152)					

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments and amendments, see the papers, filed 7/14/05, with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 1, 12, 15 and 26 under 35 USC 102(b) have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of Singhal et al.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 22-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 22 lacks antecedence for "the disk" in line 7.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 1, 7, 8, 12, 13, 15, 16, 20-22, 25-28, 33-35 and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Singhal et al., US-2005/0004637. See Figure 8, wherein several different portions of the lead can be wound in the channel 146 that extends from the burr ring. As to claim 7, since the coil direction is capable of being reversed, the Singhal device would be inherently capable of this function. As to Claim 27, no two exactly the same coiling configurations are possible, hence the breadth of

Art Unit: 3762

this claim is met by Singhal. As to Claim 28, not internally coiling the lead inherently provides the claimed result.

Claims 1-5, 7, 8, 12, 14-16, 20-22, 25-28, 33-35 and 42-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being clearly anticipated by Piancal et al., US-7,004,948. See in particular figure 9B wherein the chamber extends beyond the ring opening thus meeting the "extending" feature of the claims. As to Claims 7, 27 and 28, similar comments apply as above.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 9-11 and 17-19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 23 and 24 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 29-32 and 36-41 are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeffrey R. Jastrzab whose telephone number is (571) 272-4947. The examiner can normally be reached on M-R 5:30 a.m. to 4:00 p.m..

Application/Control Number: 10/749,621 Page 4

Art Unit: 3762

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Angela D. Sykes can be reached on (571) 272-4955. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jeffrey R. Jastrzab Erimary Examiner Art Unit 3762

6/12/06